

ROUTE 29 SOLUTIONS

RFQ QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

Date: 08-13-14

Contract ID No.: C00077383DB80

Subject: RFQ Questions and Answers

VDOT's responses to the Offerors questions submitted during and after the RFQ information meeting are provided below. As noted in the responses, the Department is planning to release an addendum to the RFQ. We anticipate the RFQ addendum will be available on the website by close of business (COB) August 15, 2014. The SOQ submission date of August 28, 2014 will remain unchanged.

1. Section 3.4.1 of the request for qualifications requires that "Two (2) of the projects shall be related to roadways and the other one (1) project shall be related to bridges." Does the "bridge project" have to be (or include) a bridge over water, or can it be a project with a bridge over land, as part of an interchange, for example? If a project includes both roadways and bridges, can it count as either our bridge or as one of our roadway projects to meet requirements?

VDOT RESPONSE

The RFQ does not mandate the type of bridge crossing to be included on the Work History form. The type of bridge project submitted should focus on what the Offeror considers most relevant in demonstrating the Lead Contractor's and, if applicable, the Sub-Contractor's qualification for this Project. A project that includes both a roadway and bridge can count as either a bridge or as a roadway project. For projects that include both roadways and bridges the Offeror shall indicated on the Work History Form if the project shall be evaluated as a bridge project or a road project. The contract completion dates and values as well as any incentives realized shall be specific to the roadway or bridge project being evaluated.

2. Has VDOT successfully coordinated the 2013 Cultural Resources Survey and Cemetery Delineation including NRHP Evaluation Associated with the Route 29 Widening Project, Albemarle County, Virginia with the Virginia Department of Historic Resources?

VDOT RESPONSE

Since the limits of the current Route 29 Widening Project have been revised, coordination

between the VDOT and the VDHR is ongoing. Previous coordination does not reflect the extended/current project limits. We anticipate coordination efforts between VDOT and VDHR will be completed by the Request for Proposal (RFP) release date.

3. Will VDOT take the lead on any further work on the Owens-McCauley Cemetery including re-location of the graves, or will the cemetery work be part of the Design-Build RFP? If the later, has VDOT completed any additional coordination for the grave re-location?

VDOT RESPONSE

The roadway alignments currently under consideration are to avoid any impacts to grave sites. However, if grave sites were to require relocation it would be the responsibility of the Design-Builder to perform the relocation.

4. Please provide any relevant correspondence from the Virginia Department of Historic Resources on the Route 29 Solutions projects.

VDOT RESPONSE

Coordination between the VDOT and the VDHR is ongoing. Relevant correspondence between the VDOT and the VDHR will be provided with the Request for Proposal (RFP).

5. Would VDOT consider amending the Organizational Chart requirements to allow teams to furnish the Organizational Chart on an 11"x17" sheet of paper folded to 8.5"x11"? Use Times New Roman 10-point font on the chart instead of Times New Roman 12-point font?

VDOT RESPONSE

The Offerors will be allowed to furnish the Organizational Chart on a 11"x17" sheet of paper folded to 8.5"x11" and use Time New Roman 10-point font. This will clarified in the upcoming RFQ addendum.

6. Section 2.9 of the RFQ states that "the Department is aware of multiple inaccuracies and inadequacies" in the supplemental information package. Please provide the list of the multiple inaccuracies and inadequacies so that other teams can be at the same level of knowledge as the developer of this information who is not listed as precluded in section 11.2.7 of the RFQ.

VDOT RESPONSE

VDOT has not performed a design level review of the Supplement information provided. Some of the information provided was developed for previous projects in the vicinity. As a result the details contained therein should be considered incomplete, not cohesive, and

do not fully represent the Project. The Department does not represent or warrant that the information contained in the Supplemental Information Package is reliable or accurate for design or construction of the Project. Supplemental Information Package, which is deemed not a component of the RFQ or RFP for this Project, is made available upon request and for informational purposes only. The Offerors may use this information at their own risk and as they deem appropriate.

7. During the VDOT information meeting, it was noted that there will be two (2) rounds of proprietary meetings. The current schedule has 8 weeks between release of the RFP and the Technical Proposal due date. Will the two (2) rounds of proprietary meetings occur within this timeframe or will the schedule be adjusted?

VDOT RESPONSE

Proprietary meetings will occur within the approximate eight (8) week period between release of the RFP and the Technical Proposal due date. A detailed schedule of this phase of the procurement will be included in the Request for Proposals (RFP).

8. Please define “disrupting the flow of local traffic” as stated on the bottom of page 4 of the RFQ. This definition may prove critical to the view/identification of Risk on the project.

For the purpose of the RFQ “disrupting the flow of local traffic” is defined below and will be included in the upcoming RFQ Addendum.

VDOT RESPONSE

From the end of UVA Commencement Activities to the 1st UVA Home Football Game (Summer)

At the Rio Rd and Rte 29 intersection the following will be required:

On Rio Road (EB&WB) – Right Turn movements must be maintained, but the left & thru movements may be closed and detoured

On Route 29 (NB&SB) – Thru and Right movements must be maintained, but the left turn movement may be closed and detoured

From the 1st UVA Home Football Game to the end of the UVA Commencement Activities (Fall/Winter/Spring)

At the Rio Rd and Route 29 intersection the following will be required:

On Rio Road (EB&WB) and Route 29 (NB&SB) – Left, Thru and Right movements must be maintained which will be outlined in the Limits of Operations (LOO) that will be included in the Request for Proposal (RFP).

Traffic analysis is underway to determine the number of lanes required to be maintained for each movement and will be included in the LOO. A more detailed definition regarding disruption of traffic will be included in the RFP.

9. The Public Relations Manager is a critical item in selection since it is listed as Pass/Fail in the RFQ. The only criteria for the Pass / Fail evaluation listed in the RFQ is “past experience with public outreach on transportation related projects.” Can the offerors assume that is the only criteria required for that position, and anyone with that experience will be Pass?

VDOT RESPONSE

Yes, this is the primary criteria that the Public Relations Manager must meet to achieve a passing score. If the Public Relations Manager fails to meet this criterion the Offeror's entire Statement of Qualification (SOQ) may be found non-responsive. This will be clarified in the upcoming RFQ Addendum.

10. Would VDOT please clarify, if a multiple phase project (showing more than one phase) was used on one Work History Form that all phases would qualify to be evaluated for the Route 29 Project?

VDOT RESPONSE

For multiple phase projects, only single phase of construction (or single contract) will be considered as a Project. If additional phases are shown under the same Work History Form, only the first phase (or contract) listed will be evaluated. This will be clarified in the upcoming RFQ addendum.

11. What has been done to coordinate with utilities both public and private?

VDOT RESPONSE

A field meeting with representatives from all known utility companies was held on July 17, 2014 to make them aware of the project and potential impacts. The utility companies that attended the field meeting are as follows:

Century Link

Lumos Networks

Rivanna Water and Sewer Authority (RWSA)

Albemarle County Service Authority (ACSA)

City of Charlottesville Gas

Comcast

Dominion Virginia Power

MCI Communications

FiberLight, LLC

City of Charlottesville Utilities (Water and Sewer)

12. Will the Design-Builder be responsible for Section 106 Coordination?

VDOT RESPONSE

Yes, to the extent that it will be required. Additional information regarding Section 106 coordination will be provided in the Request for Proposal (RFP).

13. Is the pass/fail qualification for the Public Relations Manager to be part of the numerical score for Offeror's Key Personnel?

VDOT RESPONSE

The qualifications for the Public Relations Manager will not be part of the numerical score for the Offeror's Key Personnel. Please refer to VDOT's response to Question #9 above.

14. Please define/explain what is meant by "disrupting traffic". Do lane widths constitute disturbance? Does this mean the existing entrances need to remain in the same location? Can entrances be reconfigured?

VDOT RESPONSE

Please refer to VDOT's response to Question #8 above. Requirements for maintaining lane widths and entrances will be addressed in the Request for Proposal (RFP).

15. Are projects with two (2) phases considered as a single project in relationship to work experience?

VDOT RESPONSE

Please refer to VDOT's response to Question #10 above.

16. Will it be possible to get "rolling" answers to the RFQ questions on the website?

VDOT RESPONSE

VDOT will respond to all questions as expeditiously as possible as but no later than the

date included in Section 2.6 of the RFQ.

17. Will the RFQ Information meeting minutes and sign-in sheet be provided?

VDOT RESPONSE

Meeting minutes of the RFQ information meeting will not be provided. Sign-in sheets for this meeting have been posted on the following website <http://www.virginiadot.org/business/request-for-qualifications.asp>.