

ROUTE 659 (BELMONT RIDGE ROAD) – RECONSTRUCT TO 4-LANES

RFQ QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

Date: 06-23-14

Project: **0659-053-262, R204, C504, B670, B671**

Subject: RFQ Questions and Answers

1. The FOIP notes that preliminary plans were completed in early 2007, a public hearing was held in November 2007 and the Major Design Elements of the Project were approved by the Chief Engineer in May 2009. Although this design may not be directly applicable to the current project, can the preliminary plans that were prepared and approved by the Chief Engineer be provided with the RFQ?
The RFQ Conceptual Plans provided with the RFQ are an updated version of the preliminary plans referenced in the above question. The plans completed in 2007 are not applicable to this procurement and they will not be provided with the RFQ.
2. Will a minimum pavement section be included with the RFP?
Yes, a minimum pavement section will be specified in the RFP.
3. Will VDOT release a Preliminary NADR with the RFP? Will the D-B be responsible for the Final NADR?
The Final Noise Abatement Design (FNAD) is currently underway. The FNAD will be provided with the RFP. The Design-Builder will not be required to perform a noise study unless there are design changes that necessitate a noise reevaluation.
4. On Attachment 3.4.1(a) Lead Contractor-Work History Form; the asterisk that states “*For multiple phase projects, only single phase of construction (or single contract) will be considered as a Project. If additional phase are shown under the same Work History Form, only the first phase (or contract) listed will be evaluated”; Can VDOT please clarify this?
Only one identified phase of a multiple phase construction project will be considered and evaluated as a project. If multiple phase projects are submitted, only the identified phase will be evaluated.
5. On Attachment 3.4.1(a) Lead Contractor-Work History Form; the asterisk that states “*For multiple phase projects, only single phase of construction (or single contract) will be considered as a Project. If additional phases are shown under the same Work History Form, only the first phase (or contract) listed will be evaluated”; Can this be written over? The forms are not to be modified, however, in the past that space was available to work in.
As specified in the RFQ, Section 5.2.2, “The Format and appearance of the Key

Personnel Resume Form and the Work History Forms should not be modified.” All text that is part of these forms shall remain.

6. On Attachment 3.3.1, Section h, this is only required for the Construction Manager? No other Key Personnel are required, as referred to section 3.3.1 (all of section), to be on Project site for the duration of construction operations.

Section h, on Attachment 3.3.1, is only required for Key Personnel required to be on-site full-time for the duration of construction. For this Project, Section h is only required for the Construction Manager.

7. Section 3.3 - Offeror’s Team Structure: RFQ Section 3.3.2 requests that the Offeror, “Furnish an organization chart showing the “chain of command” of all companies including....

Will VDOT consider allowing the Offeror provide a full and complete organization chart using one (1) 11” x 17” piece of paper which will be counted as one page towards the fifteen (15) total page count as showing the complete organizational chart on one (1) 8.5” x 11” sheet of paper does not allow for sufficient room to show all requested information included in Section 3.3.2.

As specified in the RFQ, Section 5.2.2, the Statement of Qualifications shall be prepared on 8.5” x 11” white paper except for the Work History Forms which shall be prepared on 11” x 17” paper but folded to 8.5” x 11”. The organizational chart shall be on 8.5” x 11” paper.

8. Section 3.5 - Project Risks: RFQ Section 3.5.1 requests that the Offeror, “Identify and discuss three critical risks for this Project, focusing on what the Offeror’s team considers the most relevant and critical to the success of this Project.”

In order for our team to define the three most critical risks, we ask that the Department provide the following requested information to assist in our risk identification, impact and mitigation efforts, specifically:

- Coordination with Dominion Virginia Power related to the feasibility and timing for de-energizing the overhead 230 KV line and feasibility, schedule and cost of raising the existing line to provide sufficient overhead room to construct the proposed Belmont Ridge Road Bridges.
- As Belmont Ridge Road is planned for future widening to a six lane section, please provide information on bridge configurations and clearances to accommodate the future widening.
- Is the planned 28’4” bridge separation to accommodate the WO&D trail light well, the minimum planned light well for both the 4 lane and 6 lane section; and
- Please provide more information related to the Departments assessments to investigate the use of nutrient credits for Stormwater Management as this approach will address a potential SWM critical risk.

The Offeror shall develop their response to Project Risks based on the information provided with the RFQ. No additional information will be provided at this time.