

I-95 Corridor Study

FINAL REPORT

TABLE OF CONTENTS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

1.	INTRODUCTION	1
1.1	Background	2
1.2	Scope of Study	3
1.3	Study Area	3
1.4	Stakeholder Participation	4
1.4.1	Technical Advisory Committee (TAC)	4
1.4.2	Hanover County Board of Supervisors	5
1.4.3	Town of Ashland Town Council	5
1.5	Study Team	5
1.6	Study Process	6
2.	DATA COLLECTION	7
2.1	References and Existing Documentation	7
2.1.1	References	7
2.1.2	Existing Documentation	7
2.2	Existing Data	8
2.2.1	Roadway Plans	8
2.2.2	Crash Data	8
2.2.3	MINUTP Model	9
2.2.4	Existing Traffic Data	9
2.3	Field Data Collection	9
2.3.1	Daily Traffic Counts	10
2.3.2	Turning Movement Counts	11
2.3.3	Photographic Inventory	12
2.3.4	Video Inventory	12
2.4	Photogrammetric Base Mapping	12
3.	Analysis and Findings	14
3.1	Existing Corridor	14
3.1.1	Hanover County	18
3.1.2	Town of Ashland	20
3.2	Transportation Modes and Activity Centers	20
3.3	Existing Conditions	22
3.3.1	I-95 Mainline	22
3.3.2	Route 802 (Lewistown Road) Interchange	26
3.3.3	Route 54 (England Street / Patrick Henry Street) Interchange	28
3.3.4	I-95 Overpasses	30
3.3.5	I-95 / Route 30 (Kings Dominion / Doswell) Interchange	30

3.4	Stakeholder Feedback	32
3.4.1	Stakeholder Interviews	32
3.4.2	Stakeholder Comments	33
3.5	Travel Demand and Traffic Forecasts	37
3.5.1	Current Year (2002) Traffic Volumes	37
3.5.2	2002 Mainline Volumes and Travel Patterns	37
3.5.3	Future Year (2025) Traffic Volumes	42
3.5.4	2025 Baseline (Model) Volumes	43
3.5.5	2025 Projected (Study) Volumes	47
3.6	Traffic Safety and Crash History	52
3.6.1	I-95 Mainline	53
3.6.2	Route 802 (Lewistown Road)	55
3.6.3	Route 54 (England Street)	56
3.6.4	Route 30 (Kings Dominion Boulevard)	56
3.7	Operations Analysis	57
3.7.1	Freeway Mainline Operations	58
3.7.2	Ramp Operations	58
3.7.3	CORSIM and SYNCHRO	59
3.7.4	Summary of Operations Analysis	59
3.7.5	Year 2002 Existing Conditions	61
3.7.6	Year 2025 No-Build Conditions	61
3.7.7	Year 2025 Master Plan Conditions	61
3.7.8	Additional HCM Analysis	62
3.8	Summary of Findings	63
4.	Proposed Solutions	65
4.1	TAC Solution Process	65
4.2.	Preliminary Concepts	65
4.3	Alternative Concepts	68
4.4	Preferred Concepts	70
4.4.1	I-95 / Route 802 Interchange – Preferred Concept	70
4.4.2	I-95 / Route 54 Interchange – Preferred Concept	75
4.4.3	I-95 / Route 30 Interchange – Preferred Concept	77
4.4.4	I-95 Mainline – Preferred Concept	78
4.5	Operational Analysis of Preferred Concepts	79
5.	Recommendations	82
5.1	Possible Master Plan	82
5.2	Possible Interim Projects	83
5.2.1	I-95 / Route 802 Interchange (Lewistown Road)	83
5.2.2	I-95 / Route 54 Interchange (Ashland)	83
5.2.3	I-95 / Route 30 Interchange (Kings Dominion)	84
5.2.4	I-95 Mainline	84
5.3	Rough-Order-of-Magnitude (ROM) Costs	85
5.4	Summary and Conclusions	85
5.4.1	Planning Tool	87
5.4.2	Next Steps	87

TABLES

Table 1-1 – I-95 Corridor Study Technical Advisory Committee (TAC)	4
Table 3-1 – Comparison of Traffic Volumes Derived for Study Area	51
Table 3-2 – Summary of Crash Data (January 1999-December 2001)	53
Table 3-3 – Study Area Roadway Crash Distribution (by type)	53
Table 3-4 – I-95 Mainline Levels of Service	60
Table 3-5 – Interchange Merge and Diverge Levels of Service	60
Table 3-6 – Max Service Flow Rate per Lane (PCPHPL) (per <i>HCM 2000</i>)	62
Table 3-7 – Year 2002 Required Number of Lanes per LOS C and D	62
Table 3-8 – Year 2025 Required Number of Lanes per LOS C and D	63
Table 4-1 – Preliminary Concepts	66
Table 4-2 – Alternative Concepts	68
Table 4-3 – I-95 Mainline Levels of Service	80
Table 4-4 – Interchange Merge and Diverge Levels of Service	81
Table 5-1 – Rough-Order-of-Magnitude (ROM) Costs	86

FIGURES

Figure 1-1 – I-95 corridor in Hanover County and Ashland, VA	2
Figure 3-1 – I-95 Corridor – Sliding Hill Road Interchange to Route 54 Interchange	15
Figure 3-2 – I-95 Corridor – Route 54 Interchange to Mainline at Old Ridge Road	16
Figure 3-3 – I-95 Corridor – Mainline at Pamunkey River to Caroline County Line	17
Figure 3-4 – Hanover County 2022 General Land Use Plan	19
Figure 3-5 – Town of Ashland 2006-2020 Future Land Use Plan	21
Figure 3-6 – I-95/Route 802 (Lewistown Road) Interchange (Exit 89)	23
Figure 3-7 – I-95/Route 54 (Ashland) Interchange (Exit 92)	24
Figure 3-8 – I-95/Route 30 (Kings Dominion) Interchange (Exit 98)	25
Figure 3-9 – 2002 Existing Daily Traffic Volumes (AADT)	38
Figure 3-10 – 2002 Existing AM Peak Hour Traffic Volumes	39
Figure 3-11 – 2002 Existing PM Peak Hour Traffic Volumes	40
Figure 3-12 – Summer 2002 Volumes	41
Figure 3-13 – Hourly Traffic Volume Trends	41
Figure 3-14 – Percent Heavy Vehicles	42
Figure 3-15 – 2025 Baseline Daily Traffic Volumes (AADT)	44
Figure 3-16 – 2025 Baseline AM Peak Hour Traffic Volumes	45
Figure 3-17 – 2025 Baseline PM Peak Hour Traffic Volumes	46
Figure 3-18 – 2025 Projected Daily Traffic Volumes (AADT)	48
Figure 3-19 – 2025 Projected AM Peak Hour Traffic Volumes	49
Figure 3-20 – 2025 Projected PM Peak Hour Traffic Volumes	50
Figure 3-21 – Study Area Crash Type Distribution	52
Figure 4-1 – Preferred Concept – I-95/Route 802 Interchange	71
Figure 4-2 – Preferred Concept – I-95/Route 54 Interchange	72
Figure 4-3 – Preferred Concept – I-95/Route 30 Interchange	73
Figure 4-4 – I-95 Mainline – Recommended Typical Sections	74

APPENDICES

- Appendix A References
- Appendix B Summary of Documentation and Data
- Appendix C I-95 Count Station – Volume Summary Sheets
- Appendix D CORSIM Networks
- Appendix E Preliminary Concepts
- I-95/Route 802 Interchange – Options 1 through 6
 - I-95/Route 54 Interchange – Options 1 through 6
 - I-95/Route 30 Interchange – Options 1 through 4A
- Appendix F Alternative Concepts
- I-95/Route 802 Interchange – Options A and B
 - I-95/Route 54 Interchange – Options A through C
 - I-95/Route 30 Interchange – Options A and B
- Appendix G Rough-Order-of-Magnitude (ROM) Costs for Master Plan of Preferred Concepts
- Appendix H Presentation:
- Hanover County Board of Supervisors (May 28, 2003)
 - Ashland Town Council (June 3, 2003)